Application No. Applicant(s) STUTZ ET AL. 09/891,821 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Mehmet B. Geckil 2142 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mehmet B. Geckil. (2) John Lanza. Date of Interview: 29 November 2004. Type: a) \square Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 14. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney to point out that claim 14 was depending on itself. Attorney authorized examiner to change the dependency of claim 14 to claim 13. Examiner also pointed out that page

5 of 7 of the oath was missing and was not scanned into the elecronic file. Attorney agreed to rightfax the whole oath so that the missing page can be scanned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

> MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required